## CS 2500: Algorithms Lecture 5: Mathematical Induction

## Shubham Chatterjee

Missouri University of Science and Technology, Department of Computer Science

September 3, 2024

1/82

# Mathematical Induction: Infinite Ladder Example

- Suppose we have an infinite ladder.
- We want to know whether we can reach every step on this ladder.
- We know two things:
  - We can reach the first rung of the ladder.
  - If we can reach a particular rung, we can reach the next rung.
- Can we conclude that we can reach every rung?



# Mathematical Induction: Infinite Ladder Example

- By (1), we can reach the first rung of the ladder.
- Since we can reach the first rung, by (2), we can reach the second rung.
- Continuing in this way:
  - We can reach the third rung, the fourth rung, and so on.
  - For example, after 100 uses of (2), we know that we can reach the 101st rung.



# Mathematical Induction: Infinite Ladder Example

## Can We Reach Every Rung?

- Yes, we can reach every rung of the infinite ladder.
- This can be verified using an important proof technique called **mathematical induction**.
- We can show that P(n) is true for every positive integer n.
- Where *P*(*n*) is the statement that we can reach the *n*th rung of the ladder.



## Importance of Mathematical Induction

- Mathematical induction is a crucial proof technique for assertions like this.
- It is extensively used to prove results about:
  - Complexity of algorithms.
  - Correctness of computer programs.
  - Theorems about graphs and trees.
  - Various identities and inequalities.



- Mathematical induction can be used to prove statements that assert that P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- *P*(*n*) is a propositional function.
  - Propositional function: a statement or expression that contains one or more variables and becomes a proposition when the variables are replaced by specific values.
  - Example: P(x): x is an even number.
    - If x = 1, then P(x) is true.
    - If x = 3, then P(x) is false.

To prove that P(n) is true for all positive integers n, we complete two steps:

- **Basis Step:** We verify that P(1) is true.
- Inductive Step: Show that for all positive integers k, if P(k) is true, then P(k+1) is true.

- To complete the inductive step, assume that P(k) is true for an arbitrary positive integer k.
- Show that under this assumption, P(k+1) must also be true.
- The assumption that P(k) is true is called the **inductive hypothesis**.
- Once both steps are complete, we have shown that P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- This means ∀n, P(n) is true where the quantification is over the set of positive integers.

(ロ) (四) (三) (三) (三) (0)(0)

Expressed as a rule of inference, this proof technique can be stated as:

$$(P(1) \land \forall k(P(k) \to P(k+1))) \to \forall n P(n)$$

when the domain is the set of positive integers.

• Mathematical induction is a critical proof technique used in many areas of mathematics and computer science.

- In a proof by mathematical induction, it is not assumed that P(k) is true for all positive integers.
- It is only shown that if it is assumed that P(k) is true, then P(k+1) is also true.
- Thus, a proof by mathematical induction is not a case of begging the question or circular reasoning.

## Why Mathematical Induction is Valid

- The validity of mathematical induction comes from the well-ordering property of the set of positive integers.
- Well-Ordering Property: Every nonempty subset of the set of positive integers has a least element.

#### Example:

- Consider the subset  $S = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid n \ge 3\}.$
- Explicitly,  $S = \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots\}.$
- According to the well-ordering property, S must have a least element.
- The least element in this subset *S* is 3.

- Suppose P(1) is true, and the proposition P(k) → P(k+1) is true for all positive integers k.
- To show P(n) is true for all positive integers n, assume there is at least one positive integer for which P(n) is false.

- The assumption that P(n) is false for some n is a technique in **proof by contradiction**.
- Goal: Prove that P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- Strategy: Assume the opposite of what you want to prove.

## • Step 1: Assume the Opposite

- Assume there is at least one positive integer n for which P(n) is false.
- Define S as the set of all positive integers where P(n) is false:

$$S = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid P(n) ext{ is false}\}$$

- Because we assumed that P(n) is false for some positive integer n, the set S must contain at least one element.
- Therefore, *S* is non-empty.

#### • Step 2: Well-Ordering Property

- The set S has a least element, say m.
- *m* is the smallest positive integer for which P(m) is false.

- m cannot be 1 because P(1) is true by the basis step.
- Since m is greater than 1, m-1 must be a positive integer.
- P(m-1) must be true because *m* is the smallest element in *S*.

- Given that P(m-1) is true, and using the inductive step  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ :
- We conclude that P(m) must also be true.
- **Contradiction:** This conclusion contradicts the assumption that *P*(*m*) is false.

- Since the assumption leads to a contradiction, the original assumption must be false.
- Therefore, P(n) must be true for all positive integers n.

#### Statement of the Problem:

• Prove that if *n* is a positive integer, then

$$1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

- Let P(n) be the proposition that the sum of the first n positive integers is  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .
- We will prove P(n) using mathematical induction.

#### Steps of the Induction Proof

- Show that P(1) is true (Basis Step).
- Show that P(k) implies P(k+1) for all  $k \ge 1$  (Inductive Step).

## Basis Step

• P(1) is the statement:

$$1=rac{1(1+1)}{2}$$

- The left-hand side is 1 (the sum of the first positive integer).
- The right-hand side is also 1:

$$\frac{1(2)}{2} = \frac{2}{2} = 1.$$

• Thus, P(1) is true.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

#### Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume that P(k) is true for some arbitrary positive integer k.
- That is, assume:

$$1+2+\cdots+k=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}.$$

• We must show that P(k+1) is true, which means proving:

$$1+2+\cdots+k+(k+1)=\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

21 / 82

(日)

#### Inductive Step: Proof

• Starting with the inductive hypothesis:

$$1+2+\cdots+k=\frac{k(k+1)}{2},$$

• Add (k+1) to both sides:

$$1+2+\cdots+k+(k+1)=rac{k(k+1)}{2}+(k+1).$$

## Simplifying the Expression

• Factor (k + 1) out of the right-hand side:

$$\frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (k+1) = \frac{k(k+1) + 2(k+1)}{2}$$

• Simplify the expression:

$$\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

• This shows that P(k+1) is true.

### Conclusion

- We have shown that P(1) is true (Basis Step).
- We have shown that P(k) implies P(k+1) (Inductive Step).
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- Therefore, we have proven that:

$$1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

イロン 不同 とくほど 不良 とうほ

24 / 82

for all positive integers n.

#### **Problem Statement**

- Conjecture a formula for the sum of the first *n* positive odd integers.
- The sums for *n* = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are:

$$1 = 1, \quad 1 + 3 = 4, \quad 1 + 3 + 5 = 9,$$

 $1+3+5+7=16, \quad 1+3+5+7+9=25.$ 

- Conjecture: The sum of the first *n* positive odd integers is  $n^2$ .
- Formula:  $1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n 1) = n^2$ .

## Steps of the Induction Proof

- Let P(n) denote the proposition that the sum of the first n positive odd integers is  $n^2$ .
- We will prove P(n) using mathematical induction:
  - Basis Step: Show that P(1) is true.
  - Inductive Step: Show that  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$  is true for all  $k \ge 1$ .

## Basis Step

- *P*(1) states that the sum of the first one positive odd integer is  $1^2 = 1$ .
- This is true because the sum is indeed 1.
- Therefore, P(1) is true.

#### Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume P(k) is true for some arbitrary positive integer k.
- That is, assume:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2k-1)=k^2$$
.

• We must show that P(k+1) is true:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2k-1)+(2k+1)=(k+1)^2.$$

28 / 82

イロン 不同 とくほど 不良 とうせい

### Inductive Step: Proof

• Starting with the inductive hypothesis:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2k-1)=k^2$$
,

• Add 
$$(2k+1)$$
 to both sides:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2k-1)+(2k+1)=k^2+(2k+1)$$

## Simplifying the Expression

• Simplify the right-hand side:

$$k^2 + 2k + 1 = (k + 1)^2$$
.

30 / 82

- This shows that P(k+1) follows from P(k).
- Therefore, P(k+1) is true.

## Conclusion

- We have shown that P(1) is true (Basis Step).
- We have shown that  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$  (Inductive Step).
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- Therefore, we have proven that:

$$1+3+5+\cdots+(2n-1)=n^2$$

for all positive integers n.

#### **Problem Statement**

• Use mathematical induction to prove the following formula:

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● ○ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

32 / 82

for all nonnegative integers n.

• Let P(n) be the proposition that  $1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$ .

#### Steps of the Induction Proof

- We will prove P(n) using mathematical induction:
  - **1** Basis Step: Show that P(0) is true.
  - Inductive Step: Show that P(k) → P(k + 1) is true for all k ≥ 0.

## **Basis Step**

• P(0) states that:

$$1 = 2^{0+1} - 1 = 2^1 - 1 = 1.$$

• This is true, so the basis step is complete.

#### Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume P(k) is true for some arbitrary nonnegative integer k.
- That is, assume:

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^k = 2^{k+1} - 1.$$

• We must show that P(k+1) is true:

$$1 + 2 + 2^{2} + \dots + 2^{k} + 2^{k+1} = 2^{(k+1)+1} - 1 = 2^{k+2} - 1.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のなび

35 / 82

#### Inductive Step: Proof

• Start with the inductive hypothesis:

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^k = 2^{k+1} - 1.$$

• Add  $2^{k+1}$  to both sides:

$$1 + 2 + 2^{2} + \dots + 2^{k} + 2^{k+1} = (2^{k+1} - 1) + 2^{k+1}.$$
# Simplifying the Expression

• Simplify the right-hand side:

$$(2^{k+1}-1) + 2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 1 = 2^{k+2} - 1.$$

- This shows that P(k+1) follows from P(k).
- Therefore, P(k+1) is true.

# Conclusion

- We have shown that P(0) is true (Basis Step).
- We have shown that  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$  (Inductive Step).
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all nonnegative integers n.
- Therefore, we have proven that:

$$1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

for all nonnegative integers n.

## **Problem Statement**

• Use mathematical induction to prove the inequality:

*n* < 2<sup>*n*</sup>

39 / 82

for all positive integers n.

• Let P(n) be the proposition that  $n < 2^n$ .

## Steps of the Induction Proof

- We will prove P(n) using mathematical induction:
  - **1** Basis Step: Show that P(1) is true.
  - Inductive Step: Show that P(k) → P(k + 1) is true for all k ≥ 1.

# **Basis Step**

• P(1) states that:

$$1 < 2^1 = 2.$$

• This is true, so the basis step is complete.

#### Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume P(k) is true for some arbitrary positive integer k.
- That is, assume:

$$k < 2^{k}$$
.

• We must show that P(k+1) is true:

$$k+1 < 2^{k+1}$$

## Inductive Step: Proof

• Start with the inductive hypothesis:

$$k < 2^{k}$$
.

• Add 1 to both sides:

$$k+1<2^k+1.$$

• Note that  $1 \leq 2^k$ , so:

$$2^k + 1 \le 2^k + 2^k = 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}.$$

# Conclusion

- Therefore,  $k + 1 < 2^{k+1}$ , which shows that P(k + 1) is true.
- The induction step is complete.
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- Therefore, we have proven that:

$$n < 2^{n}$$

イロン 不同 とくほど 不良 とうほ

44 / 82

for all positive integers n.

#### **Problem Statement**

• Use mathematical induction to prove that:

 $2^{n} < n!$ 

▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のなび

45 / 82

for every integer *n* with  $n \ge 4$ .

• Let P(n) be the proposition that  $2^n < n!$ .

## **Basis Step**

- Since the inequality is false for n = 1, 2, 3, we begin with P(4).
- P(4) states that:

$$2^4 = 16 < 24 = 4!$$

• This is true, so the basis step is complete.

#### Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume P(k) is true for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 4$ .
- That is, assume:

 $2^k < k!.$ 

• We must show that P(k+1) is true:

 $2^{k+1} < (k+1)!.$ 

47 / 82

## Inductive Step: Proof

• Start with the definition of exponent:

$$2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k.$$

• Apply the inductive hypothesis:

$$2^{k+1} < 2 \cdot k!.$$

• Since 2 < k + 1 for  $k \ge 4$ :

 $2 \cdot k! < (k+1) \cdot k! = (k+1)!.$ 

# Conclusion

- This shows that P(k+1) is true when P(k) is true.
- The induction step is complete.
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all integers  $n \ge 4$ .
- Therefore, we have proven that:

$$2^{n} < n!$$

for all integers  $n \ge 4$ .

#### **Problem Statement**

• Use mathematical induction to prove that:

$$n^3 - n$$
 is divisible by 3

for all positive integers n.

• Let P(n) be the proposition that  $n^3 - n$  is divisible by 3.

## Basis Step

• P(1) states that:

$$1^3 - 1 = 0,$$

which is divisible by 3.

• Therefore, P(1) is true, completing the basis step.

#### Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume P(k) is true for some arbitrary positive integer k.
- That is, assume:

 $k^3 - k$  is divisible by 3.

• We must show that P(k+1) is true:

 $(k+1)^3 - (k+1)$  is divisible by 3.

52 / 82

#### Inductive Step: Proof

• Expand 
$$(k+1)^3 - (k+1)$$
:

$$(k+1)^3 - (k+1) = (k^3 + 3k^2 + 3k + 1) - (k+1).$$

• Simplify the expression:

$$= (k^3 - k) + 3(k^2 + k).$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モト ヨー うへつ

53 / 82

#### Conclusion

- By the inductive hypothesis,  $k^3 k$  is divisible by 3.
- The second term,  $3(k^2 + k)$ , is clearly divisible by 3.
- Therefore,  $(k + 1)^3 (k + 1)$  is divisible by 3, completing the inductive step.
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all positive integers n.

#### **Problem Statement**

• Use mathematical induction to prove that:

 $7^{n+2} + 8^{2n+1}$  is divisible by 57

for all nonnegative integers n.

• Let P(n) be the proposition that  $7^{n+2} + 8^{2n+1}$  is divisible by 57.

# Basis Step

• P(0) states that:

$$7^{0+2} + 8^{2 \cdot 0 + 1} = 7^2 + 8^1 = 49 + 8 = 57,$$

イロン 不同 とくほど 不良 とうせい

56 / 82

which is divisible by 57.

• Therefore, P(0) is true, completing the basis step.

#### Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume P(k) is true for some arbitrary nonnegative integer k.
- That is, assume:

 $7^{k+2} + 8^{2k+1}$  is divisible by 57.

• We must show that P(k+1) is true:

$$7^{(k+1)+2} + 8^{2(k+1)+1} = 7^{k+3} + 8^{2k+3}$$
 is divisible by 57.

## Inductive Step: Proof

• Start with:

$$7^{k+3} + 8^{2k+3} = 7 \cdot 7^{k+2} + 8^2 \cdot 8^{2k+1}.$$

• Recognize that:

$$8^2 = 64 = 57 + 7.$$

• Substituting:

$$7 \cdot 7^{k+2} + 64 \cdot 8^{2k+1} = 7(7^{k+2} + 8^{2k+1}) + 57 \cdot 8^{2k+1}.$$

58 / 82

## Conclusion

- By the inductive hypothesis,  $7^{k+2} + 8^{2k+1}$  is divisible by 57.
- The second term,  $57 \cdot 8^{2k+1}$ , is clearly divisible by 57.
- Therefore, 7<sup>k+3</sup> + 8<sup>2k+3</sup> is divisible by 57, completing the inductive step.
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all nonnegative integers n.

#### **Problem Statement**

- Use mathematical induction to prove that a set with *n* elements has 2<sup>*n*</sup> subsets.
- Let *P*(*n*) be the proposition that a set with *n* elements has 2<sup>*n*</sup> subsets.

# Basis Step

- P(0) is true because a set with zero elements, the empty set, has exactly 2<sup>0</sup> = 1 subset (itself).
- Therefore, P(0) is true, completing the basis step.

## Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume P(k) is true for some arbitrary non-negative integer k.
- That is, assume:

A set with k elements has  $2^k$  subsets.

• We must show that P(k+1) is true:

A set with k + 1 elements has  $2^{k+1}$  subsets.

#### Inductive Step: Proof

- Let T be a set with k + 1 elements.
- We can write  $T = S \cup \{a\}$ , where S is a set with k elements.
- Each subset X of S can be expanded to two subsets of T: X and X ∪ {a}.
- Therefore, there are  $2 \times 2^k = 2^{k+1}$  subsets of T.

# Conclusion

- This shows that P(k+1) is true when P(k) is true.
- The induction step is complete.
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all nonnegative integers n.
- Therefore, we have proven that a set with *n* elements has 2<sup>*n*</sup> subsets.

#### **Problem Statement**

• Use mathematical induction to prove the following generalization of De Morgan's law:

$$\overline{\bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \overline{A_j}$$

whenever  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are subsets of a universal set U and  $n \ge 2$ .

• Let P(n) be the identity for n sets.

# Basis Step

• The statement P(2) asserts that:

$$\overline{A_1 \cap A_2} = \overline{A_1} \cup \overline{A_2}.$$

- This is one of De Morgan's laws which we know to be true.
- Therefore, P(2) is true, completing the basis step.

#### Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume P(k) is true for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 2$ .
- That is, assume:

$$\overline{\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} A_j} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \overline{A_j}$$

• We must show that P(k+1) is true:

$$\overline{\bigcap_{j=1}^{k+1} A_j} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} \overline{A_j}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

# Example 9: Generalization of De Morgan's Law

#### **Inductive Step: Proof**

 $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i\right) \cap A_{k+1} \quad \text{by the definition of intersection}$  $= \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} A_{j}\right) \cup \overline{A_{k+1}} \quad \text{by De Morgan's law (where the two sets are } \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} A_{j} \text{ and } A_{k+1})$  $= \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \overline{A_{i}}\right) \cup \overline{A_{k+1}} \quad \text{by the inductive hypothesis}$  $=\bigcup^{k+1}\overline{A_j}$ by the definition of union.

・ロ ・ ・ 一部 ト ・ 注 ト ・ 注 ・ う へ で
68 / 82

# Example 9: Generalization of De Morgan's Law

## Conclusion

- This shows that P(k+1) is true when P(k) is true.
- The induction step is complete.
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all integers  $n \ge 2$ .
- Therefore, we have proven that:

$$\overline{\bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \overline{A_j}$$

for all  $n \ge 2$ .

(日)

#### **Problem Statement**

• Prove that if  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  and B are sets, then:

 $(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_n) \cup B = (A_1 \cup B) \cap (A_2 \cup B) \cap \cdots \cap (A_n \cup B).$ 

• Let P(n) denote this identity for n sets  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$ .

# Basis Step

• For n = 1, the statement is:

$$(A_1)\cup B=(A_1\cup B).$$

- This is trivially true, as both sides are the same.
- Therefore, P(1) is true, completing the basis step.

## Inductive Step: Statement

- Assume P(k) is true for some arbitrary positive integer k.
- That is, assume:

 $(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k) \cup B = (A_1 \cup B) \cap (A_2 \cup B) \cap \cdots \cap (A_k \cup B).$ 

• We must show that P(k+1) is true:

 $(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k \cap A_{k+1}) \cup B = (A_1 \cup B) \cap (A_2 \cup B) \cap \cdots \cap (A_{k+1} \cup B).$
## Example 10: Proving the Set Identity

## Inductive Step: Proof

• Start with the left-hand side of P(k+1):

$$(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k \cap A_{k+1}) \cup B.$$

• By associative and distributive properties of sets:

$$= [(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k) \cap A_{k+1}] \cup B.$$

• Apply the distributive law:

$$= [(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k) \cup B] \cap (A_{k+1} \cup B).$$

• Apply the inductive hypothesis:

 $= [(A_1 \cup B) \cap (A_2 \cup B) \cap \cdots \cap (A_k \cup B)] \cap (A_{k+1} \cup B).$ 

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)

## Conclusion

• This simplifies to:

$$= (A_1 \cup B) \cap (A_2 \cup B) \cap \cdots \cap (A_{k+1} \cup B).$$

- This shows that P(k+1) is true when P(k) is true.
- The induction step is complete.
- By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all positive integers n.
- Therefore, we have proven the set identity for any number of sets  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  and set B.

#### **Problem Statement**

- Find the error in this "proof" of the clearly false claim: "Every set of lines in the plane, no two of which are parallel, meet in a common point."
- Let P(n) be the statement that every set of n lines in the plane, no two of which are parallel, meet in a common point.

### **Basis Step**

- The statement *P*(2) is true because any two lines in the plane that are not parallel meet in a common point (by the definition of parallel lines).
- Therefore, the basis step is correctly completed.

#### **Inductive Step: Statement**

- Assume P(k) is true for some positive integer k.
- That is, assume every set of k lines in the plane, no two of which are parallel, meet in a common point.
- We must show that P(k+1) is true:
- That is, every set of k + 1 lines in the plane, no two of which are parallel, meet in a common point.

### Inductive Step: Proof

- Consider a set of k + 1 distinct lines in the plane.
- By the inductive hypothesis, the first k of these lines meet in a common point  $p_1$ .
- By the inductive hypothesis, the last k of these lines meet in a common point p<sub>2</sub>.
- We show that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  must be the same point:
  - If  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  were different, all lines containing both must be the same line (since two points determine a line).
  - This contradicts the assumption that all lines are distinct.
  - Therefore,  $p_1 = p_2$ .
- This supposedly completes the inductive step.

### Identifying the Error

- Examining this proof by mathematical induction, it appears that everything is in order.
- However, there is a subtle error:
  - The inductive step requires  $k \geq 3$ .
  - When *k* = 2, the goal is to show that every three distinct lines meet in a common point.
  - The first two lines meet in a common point  $p_1$ , and the last two meet in a common point  $p_2$ .
  - In this case,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  do not have to be the same because only the second line is common to both sets.
- This is where the inductive step fails.

#### Conclusion

- The supposed proof by mathematical induction is incorrect.
- The error lies in the inductive step, specifically when trying to extend the result from k = 2 to k + 1 = 3.
- This example highlights the importance of carefully verifying each step in a proof by mathematical induction.

# Guidelines for Proofs by Mathematical Induction

- 1. Express the statement that is to be proved in the form "for all  $n \ge b$ , P(n)" for a fixed integer b.
- 2. Write out the words "Basis Step." Then show that P(b) is true, taking care that the correct value of b is used. This completes the first part of the proof.
- 3. Write out the words "Inductive Step."
- State, and clearly identify, the inductive hypothesis, in the form "assume that P(k) is true for an arbitrary fixed integer k ≥ b."
- 5. State what needs to be proved under the assumption that the inductive hypothesis is true. That is, write out what P(k + 1) says.

- 6. Prove the statement P(k + 1) making use of the assumption P(k). Be sure that your proof is valid for all integers  $k \ge b$ , taking care that the proof works for small values of k, including k = b.
- 7. Clearly identify the conclusion of the inductive step, such as by saying "this completes the inductive step."
- 8. After completing the basis step and the inductive step, state the conclusion, namely that by mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all integers  $n \ge b$ .