

CS 2500: Algorithms

Lecture 3: Asymptotic Notation (Big-Theta) and Analysis of Non-Recursive Algorithms

Shubham Chatterjee

Missouri University of Science and Technology, Department of Computer Science

August 27, 2024

Asymptotic Notation: Big-Theta(Θ)

Big-Theta: Let f and g be functions from the integers or the real numbers to the real numbers. The function $f(n)$ is $\Theta(g(n))$ if there exist positive constants C_1 , C_2 , and k such that:

$$C_1 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq C_2 \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n > k$$

- Gives **average-case** time complexity of an algorithm.
- More precise than Big-O and Big-Omega: $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if (iff) $g(n)$ is both an upper and lower bound on $f(n)$. (**Homework: Why?**)

Example 1: Sum of n numbers

Algorithm Sum(a, n)

```
1:  $s \leftarrow 0$   
2: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do  
3:    $s \leftarrow s + a[i]$   
4: end for  
5: return  $s$ 
```

Example 1: Sum of n numbers

Algorithm Sum(a, n)

```
1:  $s \leftarrow 0$ 
2: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
3:    $s \leftarrow s + a[i]$ 
4: end for
5: return  $s$ 
```

Time Complexity Analysis:

- **Line 1:** Initialization $s := 0.0$; takes constant time, $\Theta(1)$.
- **Line 2:** The for loop runs n times, so it contributes $\Theta(n)$.
- **Line 3:** Inside the loop, $s := s + a[i]$; is executed n times, contributing $\Theta(n)$.
- **Line 4:** The return statement is a constant time operation, $\Theta(1)$.

Example 1: Sum of n numbers

Algorithm Sum(a, n)

```
1:  $s \leftarrow 0$   
2: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do  
3:    $s \leftarrow s + a[i]$   
4: end for  
5: return  $s$ 
```

Total Time Complexity:

- Adding up the contributions:
 $\Theta(1) + \Theta(n) + \Theta(n) + \Theta(1) = \Theta(n)$.
- Therefore, the overall time complexity is $\Theta(n)$, meaning the algorithm's runtime grows linearly with the input size n .

Space Complexity: $\Theta(1)$

Example 2: Matrix addition

Algorithm Add(a, b, c, m, n)

```
1: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $m$  do  
2:   for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do  
3:      $c[i,j] \leftarrow a[i,j] + b[i,j]$   
4:   end for  
5: end for
```

Example 2: Matrix addition

Algorithm Add(a, b, c, m, n)

```
1: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $m$  do  
2:   for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do  
3:      $c[i,j] \leftarrow a[i,j] + b[i,j]$   
4:   end for  
5: end for
```

Time Complexity Analysis:

- **Line 1:** The outer for loop runs m times, contributing $\Theta(m)$.
- **Line 2:** The inner for loop runs n times for each iteration of the outer loop, contributing $\Theta(mn)$.
- **Line 3:** Inside the inner loop, the addition operation $c[i,j] \leftarrow a[i,j] + b[i,j]$ is executed mn times, contributing $\Theta(mn)$.

Example 2: Matrix addition

Algorithm Add(a, b, c, m, n)

```
1: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $m$  do  
2:   for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do  
3:      $c[i,j] \leftarrow a[i,j] + b[i,j]$   
4:   end for  
5: end for
```

Total Time Complexity:

- Adding up the contributions:
 $\Theta(m) + \Theta(mn) + \Theta(mn) = \Theta(mn)$.
- Overall: $\Theta(mn)$. The algorithm's runtime grows with the product of the input dimensions m and n .

Space Complexity: $\Theta(m \times n)$ for matrix c .

Example 3: Fibonacci Series

Algorithm Fibonacci(n)

```
1: if  $n \leq 1$  then  
2:   write( $n$ );  
3: else  
4:    $fnm2 \leftarrow 0$ ;  $fnm1 \leftarrow 1$ ;  
5:   for  $i \leftarrow 2$  to  $n$  do  
6:      $fn \leftarrow fnm1 + fnm2$ ;  
7:      $fnm2 \leftarrow fnm1$ ;  $fnm1 \leftarrow fn$ ;  
8:   end for  
9:   write( $fn$ );  
10: end if
```

Example 3: Fibonacci Series

Time Complexity Analysis:

- **Lines 1-2:** The check `if ( $n \leq 1$ )` and `write( $n$ )` are constant time operations, $\Theta(1)$.
- **Line 4:** Initialization of `fnm2` and `fnm1` takes constant time, $\Theta(1)$.
- **Line 5:** The for loop runs from 2 to n , so it executes $(n - 1)$ times, contributing $\Theta(n)$.
- **Lines 6-7:** Each iteration of the loop involves constant time operations, hence the total contribution of these lines is $\Theta(n)$.
- **Line 9:** `write( $fn$ )` operation takes constant time, $\Theta(1)$.

Total Time Complexity:

- Adding up the contributions:
 $\Theta(1) + \Theta(1) + \Theta(n) + \Theta(n) + \Theta(1) = \Theta(n)$.
- Overall: $\Theta(n)$.

Space Complexity: $\Theta(1)$

Example 4: Magic Square

- **Magic Square.** Problem from recreational mathematics.
- A square array of numbers, usually positive integers, is called a magic square if the sums of the numbers in each row, each column, and both main diagonals are the same.
- We will look at an algorithm for creating an $n \times n$ magic square.

Example 4: Magic Square

```
1  Algorithm Magic( $n$ )
2  // Create a magic square of size  $n$ ,  $n$  being odd.
3  {
4      if ( $(n \bmod 2) = 0$ ) then
5      {
6          write ("n is even"); return;
7      }
8      else
9      {
10         for  $i := 0$  to  $n - 1$  do // Initialize square to zero.
11         for  $j := 0$  to  $n - 1$  do  $\text{square}[i, j] := 0$ ;
12          $\text{square}[0, (n - 1)/2] := 1$ ; // Middle of first row
13         // ( $i, j$ ) is the current position.
14          $j := (n - 1)/2$ ;
15         for  $\text{key} := 2$  to  $n^2$  do
16         {
17             // Move up and left. The next two if statements
18             // may be replaced by the mod operator if
19             //  $-1 \bmod n$  has the value  $n - 1$ .
20             if ( $i \geq 1$ ) then  $k := i - 1$ ; else  $k := n - 1$ ;
21             if ( $j \geq 1$ ) then  $l := j - 1$ ; else  $l := n - 1$ ;
22             if ( $\text{square}[k, l] \geq 1$ ) then  $i := (i + 1) \bmod n$ ;
23             else //  $\text{square}[k, l]$  is empty.
24             {
25                  $i := k$ ;  $j := l$ ;
26             }
27              $\text{square}[i, j] := \text{key}$ ;
28         }
29         // Output the magic square.
30         for  $i := 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
31         for  $j := 0$  to  $n - 1$  do write ( $\text{square}[i, j]$ );
32     }
33 }
```

Example 4: Magic Square

Time Complexity Analysis:

- **Line 4-7:** If n is even, the algorithm terminates early, resulting in $\Theta(1)$ time.
- **Line 10-13:** Initializing the square matrix of size $n \times n$ takes $\Theta(n^2)$ time.
- **Line 14:** Initializing the middle element of the first row takes constant time, $\Theta(1)$.
- **Line 15-28:** The loop runs $n^2 - 1$ times, and each iteration involves constant time operations, contributing $\Theta(n^2)$.
- **Line 30-32:** Outputting the magic square requires iterating over the $n \times n$ matrix, which takes $\Theta(n^2)$ time.

Total Time Complexity:

- Adding up the contributions:
$$\Theta(n^2) + \Theta(1) + \Theta(n^2) + \Theta(n^2) = \Theta(n^2).$$
- Overall: $\Theta(n^2)$.

Space Complexity: $\Theta(n^2)$

Example 5: Maximum Element in a List

Problem: Find the value of the largest element in a list of n numbers.

Algorithm MaxElement(a)

```
1:  $maxval \leftarrow a[0]$ 
2: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n - 1$  do
3:   if  $a[i] > maxval$  then
4:      $maxval \leftarrow a[i]$ 
5:   end if
6: end for
7: return  $maxval$ 
```

Example 5: Maximum Element in a List

Time Complexity Analysis:

- **Line 1:** Initializing *maxval* with the first element takes constant time, $\Theta(1)$.
- **Line 2:** The for loop runs $n - 1$ times, so its contribution is $\Theta(n)$.
- **Line 3-6:** Each iteration checks if the current element is greater than *maxval* and updates *maxval* if necessary. This comparison and possible assignment are constant time operations, $\Theta(1)$, but since they run inside the loop, their total contribution is $\Theta(n)$.
- **Line 7:** The return statement is a constant time operation, $\Theta(1)$.

Example 5: Maximum Element in a List

Total Time Complexity

- Adding up the contributions from all lines:

$$\Theta(1) + \Theta(n) + \Theta(n) + \Theta(1) = \Theta(n)$$

- Therefore, the overall time complexity is $\Theta(n)$.
- This means the algorithm's runtime grows linearly with the size of the input array n .

Space Complexity: $\Theta(1)$

Example 6: Check Unique Element in a List

Problem: Check whether all the elements in a given array of n elements are distinct.

Algorithm UniqueElements(a)

```
1: for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 2$  do  
2:   for  $j \leftarrow i + 1$  to  $n - 1$  do  
3:     if  $a[i] = a[j]$  then  
4:       return false  
5:     end if  
6:   end for  
7: end for  
8: return true
```

Example 6: Check Unique Element in a List

Worst-Case Input:

- The worst-case occurs when the algorithm does not exit the loop prematurely.
- Two kinds of worst-case inputs:
 - ① Arrays with no equal elements.
 - ② Arrays in which the last two elements are the only pair of equal elements.
- In these cases, one comparison is made for each repetition of the innermost loop.

Question: What is the number of comparisons for a fixed i ?

Example 6: Check Unique Element in a List

What is the number of comparisons for a fixed i ?

Answer: $n - i - 1$

- The UniqueElements algorithm compares each element $a[i]$ with every subsequent element in the array.
- For a fixed i , the algorithm compares $a[i]$ with elements $a[i + 1], a[i + 2], \dots, a[n - 1]$.
- The elements that come after $a[i]$ start at index $i + 1$ and end at index $n - 1$.
- The total number of elements after $A[i]$ is:
 $(n - 1) - (i + 1) + 1 = n - i - 1$
- Therefore, the number of comparisons for a fixed i is $n - i - 1$.

Example 6: Check Unique Element in a List

Total Number of Comparisons in the Worst Case:

$$\begin{aligned}f_{\text{worst}}(n) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (n-1-i) \\&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (n-1) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} i \\&= (n-1) \times (n-1) - \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} \\&= \frac{2(n-1)^2 - (n-2)(n-1)}{2} \\&= \frac{n^2 - n}{2} \\&= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \approx \frac{1}{2}n^2 \in \Theta(n^2)\end{aligned}$$

Example 6: Check Unique Element in a List

Summary:

- The UniqueElements algorithm checks whether all elements in an array are distinct by comparing every pair of elements.
- The worst-case time complexity is $\Theta(n^2)$, which occurs when the algorithm must compare all possible pairs of elements.
- This quadratic time complexity arises because the algorithm examines every pair of elements in the worst case.

Space Complexity: $\Theta(1)$

Can we improve the time complexity any further? How?

Example 6: Check Unique Element in a List

Improved Algorithm: Using a Hash Set

- Use a hash set to track the elements we've seen as we iterate through the array.
- For each element, check if it already exists in the hash set.
- If it does, the array has duplicates; otherwise, continue.

Algorithm UniqueElements2(a)

```
1: Initialize an empty hash set seen
2: for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
3:   if  $a[i]$  is in seen then
4:     return false
5:   else
6:     Insert  $a[i]$  into seen
7:   end if
8: end for
9: return true
```

Example 6: Check Unique Element in a List

Time Complexity:

- Hash set operations (insertion and lookup) take $\Theta(1)$ time on average.
- Iterating through the array takes $\Theta(n)$ time.

Total Time Complexity: $\Theta(n)$

Space Complexity: $\Theta(n)$ for the hash set.

Example 7: Matrix Multiplication

Problem:

- Given two $n \times n$ matrices a and b , compute their product $c = ab$.
- The product matrix c is also an $n \times n$ matrix, where each element $c[i, j]$ is the dot product of the i -th row of a and the j -th column of b .

Example 7: Matrix Multiplication

Algorithm MatrixMultiplication(a,b)

```
1: for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
2:   for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
3:      $c[i, j] \leftarrow 0.0$ 
4:     for  $k \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
5:        $c[i, j] \leftarrow c[i, j] + a[i, k] \times b[k, j]$ 
6:     end for
7:   end for
8: end for
9: return  $c$ 
```

Example 7: Matrix Multiplication

Time Complexity:

- The algorithm consists of three nested loops:
 - Outer loop over i runs n times.
 - Middle loop over j runs n times for each i .
 - Inner loop over k runs n times for each pair (i, j) .
- Each iteration of the innermost loop involves a constant-time operation (multiplication and addition).
- Total number of operations: $n \times n \times n = n^3$.

Total Time Complexity: $\Theta(n^3)$

Space Complexity: $\Theta(n^2)$ for matrix 'c'

Example 8: Counting Binary Digits

Problem:

- Given a positive decimal integer n , determine the number of binary digits (bits) in its binary representation.
- Example:
 - The binary representation of 13 is 1101, which has 4 digits.
 - The binary representation of 8 is 1000, which has 4 digits.
 - The binary representation of 1 is 1, which has 1 digit.

Algorithm Binary(n)

```
1: count  $\leftarrow$  1
2: while  $n > 1$  do
3:   count  $\leftarrow$  count + 1
4:    $n \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ 
5: end while
6: return count
```

Example 8: Counting Binary Digits

Key Insight: Why the Algorithm Works

Binary Representation and Powers of 2:

- Binary is a base-2 system: each digit represents a power of 2.
- Example: $1101_2 = 1 \times 2^3 + 1 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0 = 13$.
- The number of binary digits is the number of powers of 2 needed to represent n .

Dividing by 2:

- Each division by 2 shifts the binary digits to the right, reducing the number of digits by 1.
- The algorithm counts how many times n can be divided by 2 until it becomes 1.

Example 8: Counting Binary Digits

Time Complexity Analysis

Loop Analysis:

- The loop continues until n is no longer greater than 1.
- Each iteration of the loop divides n by 2.
- The number of iterations equals the number of times n can be halved before it becomes 1.

Number of Divisions:

- The number of divisions is equal to the number of binary digits in n .
- This is $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$, where $\log_2 n$ is the number of times 2 can be multiplied to reach n .

Example 8: Counting Binary Digits

Time Complexity:

- Each iteration involves constant-time operations: incrementing count and dividing n by 2.
- Since the loop runs approximately $\log_2 n$ times, the overall time complexity is $\Theta(\log n)$.

Summary:

- The Binary algorithm efficiently counts the number of binary digits in n with a time complexity of $\Theta(\log n)$.
- This logarithmic growth makes the algorithm highly efficient, even for large values of n .

Space Complexity: $\Theta(1)$

Example 9: Mystery

Algorithm Mystery(n)

```
1:  $S \leftarrow 0$ 
2: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$  do
3:    $S \leftarrow S + i \times i$ 
4: end for
5: return  $S$ 
```

- 1 What does this algorithm compute?
- 2 What is its basic operation?
- 3 How many times is the basic operation executed?
- 4 What is the efficiency class of this algorithm?
- 5 Suggest an improvement, or a better algorithm altogether, and indicate its efficiency class. If you cannot do it, try to prove that, in fact, it cannot be done.

Example 9: Mystery

1. What does this algorithm compute?

- The algorithm computes the sum of the squares of the first n natural numbers.
- Specifically, it calculates:

$$S = 1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \cdots + n^2$$

- This can be written as:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n i^2$$

2. What is its basic operation?

- The basic operation of the algorithm is the multiplication $i \times i$, which computes the square of the integer i .

3. How many times is the basic operation executed?

- The basic operation (squaring of i) is executed once for each iteration of the 'for' loop.
- The loop runs from $i = 1$ to $i = n$, so the basic operation is executed n times.

4. What is the efficiency class of this algorithm?

- The algorithm has a time complexity of $\Theta(n)$.
- The loop runs n times, and each iteration involves a constant amount of work (multiplication and addition).

Example 9: Mystery

5. Can we improve the algorithm?

- The sum of the squares of the first n natural numbers has a known closed-form formula:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

- This formula allows us to compute the sum in constant time, $\Theta(1)$, rather than iteratively summing the squares.

Improved Algorithm: ImprovedMystery(n)

```
1:  $S \leftarrow n \times (n + 1) \times (2n + 1) / 6$   
2: return  $S$ 
```

Efficiency Class: $\Theta(1)$

Real-world Example: RSA Encryption

RSA Encryption and Decryption

- RSA is a widely used public-key cryptosystem for secure data transmission.
- Encryption: Given a message M , compute the ciphertext C as:

$$C = M^e \mod n$$

- Decryption: To recover M , compute:

$$M = C^d \mod n$$

- Here, e is the public key exponent, and d is the private key exponent.

Question: How well can we implement this?

Example 10: Computing x^n

Task. The problem is to compute x^n for any real number x and integer $n \geq 0$.

Naive Algorithm:

Algorithm NaiveExponentiate(x, n)

```
1:  $power \leftarrow x$   
2: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n - 1$  do  
3:    $power \leftarrow power \times x$   
4: end for  
5: return  $power$ 
```

Time Complexity: $\Theta(n)$

Example 10: Computing x^n

Improved Approach: Repeated Squaring. A better approach is to employ the “repeated squaring” trick.

Case 1: When n is a power of 2:

- If $n = 2^k$ for some integer k , compute x^n using the following algorithm:

Algorithm PowerOf2Exponentiate(x, k)

```
1: power  $\leftarrow x$ 
2: for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $k$  do
3:   power  $\leftarrow \text{power}^2$ 
4: end for
5: return power
```

Time Complexity: $\Theta(\log n)$

Example 10: Computing x^n

Case 2: General case: When n is not a power of 2:

- **Key Idea:** Represent n in binary form.
- Let $b_k b_{k-1} \dots b_1 b_0$ be the binary representation of n . This means:

$$n = \sum_{q=0}^k b_q 2^q$$

- Therefore,

$$x^n = x^{\sum_{q=0}^k b_q 2^q} = (x^{2^0})^{b_0} \times (x^{2^1})^{b_1} \times \dots \times (x^{2^k})^{b_k}$$

Example 10: Computing x^n

Exponentiate Algorithm. The general case is handled by the following algorithm:

Algorithm Exponentiate(x, n)

```
1:  $m \leftarrow n$ ;  $power \leftarrow 1$ ;  $z \leftarrow x$ 
2: while  $m > 0$  do
3:   while  $(m \bmod 2) = 0$  do
4:      $m \leftarrow m/2$ ;  $z \leftarrow z^2$ 
5:   end while
6:    $m \leftarrow m - 1$ ;  $power \leftarrow power \times z$ 
7: end while
8: return  $power$ 
```

Example 10: Computing x^n

Time Complexity Analysis

Outer While Loop:

- The loop runs as long as $m > 0$. Each iteration either halves m or decreases it by 1.
- Since m decreases by at least a factor of 2 in each iteration, the number of iterations is at most $\Theta(\log n)$.

Inner While Loop:

- The inner loop also runs $\Theta(\log n)$ times, squaring z at each step.

Final Time Complexity: $\Theta(\log n)$

Example 10: Computing x^n

Summary:

- The repeated squaring method significantly reduces the number of multiplications needed to compute x^n .
- The naive method takes $\Theta(n)$ time, whereas the improved method takes $\Theta(\log n)$ time.
- The algorithm leverages binary representation to break down the problem into smaller steps, making it efficient for large n .

Applications: This method is widely used in cryptography and other fields requiring fast exponentiation.